



## SOLICITATION ADDENDUM

Solicitation Number: RFP 22-SW-014 (CR) Addendum #1 Page 1 of 10

Solicitation Due Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 1:00 p.m. Local Time

**CITY OF PHOENIX**  
Procurement Division  
200 W. Washington Street  
7th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85003  
Phone: (602) 495-3606

### RFP 22-SW-014 27<sup>th</sup> Ave Materials Recovery Facility – REQUIREMENTS CONTRACT

The following are questions received and the City responses accordingly:

#### **QUESTION 1:**

In section V of the Scope of Work on Group 1, there is some historical data on the material being shipped. Can the City complement this information by providing an audit of material where it contains the material processed and shipped plus the rejects content? For example, if the rejects outbound tons number is difficult to estimate, having the inbound tons over the same 2020 period where 30,032 tons of materials were shipped out would be helpful to determine the rejects tons processed.

#### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Data from the last two years of operations is not reliable. In 2017, the city had an average residue rate of 46% from the inbound stream.

#### **QUESTION 2:**

In bullet point P “Documentation submittals” on page 5 of Group 1 Attachments A, should those documentation submittals part of the bid response or more part of the documentation over the course of the project?

#### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The Documentation Submittals are part of the Minimum Proposal Requirements and therefore must be submitted with your Proposal. For the Proposal, the format for 3D files shall be Navisworks 3D viewer (or equivalent) and the 2D files shall be in PDF format fully dimensioned and labeled. The intent is the Documentation Submittals to be submitted with the Proposal must clearly depict the proposed scope and details of supply including but not limited to system function, access, clearances, and all interrelationships to the existing facility. After contract execution the successful Equipment Contractor shall submit updates and all approval drawings for 3D files in Navisworks 3D viewed (or equivalent) and 2D files shall be in both PDF format and AutoCAD format fully dimensioned and labeled.

#### **QUESTION 3:**

By fire protection mentioned on point 3.1, page 2 of Scope of Work Group 1, does the City mean sprinklers, as required by the local regulations, around the new processing system?

#### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The city will be managing the fire protection system in and around the facility building. The Equipment Contractor is responsible for providing accurate drawings of equipment design and flow. The city will be contracting with a Fire and Life Safety Systems vendor to design and install

#### **QUESTION 4:**

Is mixed paper #54 also an acceptable material grade for fiber for the City as it is a common grade in the industry? That is also considering that a #56 fiber grade is produced.

#### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Historically, the City's preference has been for a grade #56 fiber to be produced and is still the City's preference. However, the City understands markets change and a #54 grade could be considered along with #56 grade. In previous years the 27<sup>th</sup> Ave MRF has been capable of producing some of the highest quality #56 grade material produced in the Phoenix market.



## SOLICITATION ADDENDUM

Solicitation Number: RFP 22-SW-014 (CR) Addendum #1 Page 2 of 10

Solicitation Due Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 1:00 p.m. Local Time

**CITY OF PHOENIX**  
Procurement Division  
200 W. Washington Street  
7th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85003  
Phone: (602) 495-3606

### **QUESTION 5:**

On point 6.10, page 11 of the scope of work Group 1, a commercial side tip floor is mentioned where the trash should be conveyed. Could the City provide its location in the building to avoid confusion?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The old residue conveyor from the MRF is along the south side of the building and deposits residue inside the transfer station. As long as residue is deposited directly inside the transfer station commercial tip floor the City is open to other design locations for the residue conveyor – Please see 27<sup>th</sup> MRF Site Plans Pg. 2 – External Link.

### **QUESTION 6:**

On item C, page 14 of Group 1 Attachments A, the regular working hours are depicted for installation guidelines. Can the contractor assume that our installation crew will have access to the site for installation purposes outside of those regular working hours?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Yes, the City will work with the awarded contractor to accommodate their installation schedule for crews.

### **QUESTION 7:**

We understand from the RFP documentation that some transfer operations will still occur in the facility during the installation phase on the eastern tipping floor on the east side of the building. Will the transfer station still be used in the middle portion of the building for transfer operations? During the installation phase, can our team use the outdoor area to the north and/or south to laydown equipment if the whole transfer station is occupied?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Yes, the transfer station will continue its operation during the installation. The existing recycling tip floor, bale storage area, and other areas will be made available for installation. The city will work to identify other areas to accommodate installation equipment. However, trash and recycling transfer operations will continue. We will work with the awarded contractor to keep installation areas separate as best as possible.

### **QUESTION 8:**

Are armored cables accepted by the City to wire processing equipment or field component (e-stops, motor, etc.) to their respective control panel? That type of cable is compliant to all local codes and regulations.

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Armored cables fully compliant with all local applicable codes, standards and regulations are permitted so long as they are protected from damage by impact during normal operations and routed in a manner that they do not obstruct access to maintain and service the equipment. If cable trays are proposed to be used, they will be approved subject to being located away from any area prone to spillage or the accumulation of debris and must be easily accessible for cleaning by the Operator.

### **QUESTION 9:**

Could the scope of work and responsibilities on the compressor room be clarified? From the documentation of section V scope of work on page 13, the city will manage the controlled environment in the compressor room with HVAC and power drops to bring to the equipment. Could you confirm the equipment contractor responsibilities for the compressor room are then the following: room construction (walls roof, doors, etc.) plus ducting for fresh air to compressor?



## SOLICITATION ADDENDUM

Solicitation Number: RFP 22-SW-014 (CR) Addendum #1 Page 3 of 10

Solicitation Due Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 1:00 p.m. Local Time

**CITY OF PHOENIX**  
Procurement Division  
200 W. Washington Street  
7th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85003  
Phone: (602) 495-3606

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The city will work with the awarded Equipment Contractor to build a compressor room that meets the specifications required. The city will be managing the construction of the compressor room, but the Equipment Contractor is responsible for the compressors inside and providing the necessary specifications and drawings and hookup to the system.

### **QUESTION 10:**

We understand that some civil work such as concrete walls, pit work is under the equipment contractor's scope of work to be able to fit the new equipment arrangement. Is it also correct to assume that any foundation work that might be required on the current floor to support the equipment can however be under the City's scope of work? For the moment, the equipment contractor has not enough information to properly conduct such evaluation without further knowledge of the current floor condition and slab thickness.

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The city will fill any existing pits and restore the floor as a result. Any new foundation work will be the responsibility of the awarded contractor. The awarded contractor will also need to work with the city's engineering contractor to ensure that their design and any new pit work is approved.

### **QUESTION 11:**

In the rejects tons or tons going to landfill, can the City share a material audit recently made on those tons to help determine the amount of rejects vs recyclables sent to landfill? Knowing the actual rejects content or proportion and rejects type (for example plastic bags) in the inbound stream will help refine the equipment solution.

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Data from the last two years of operations is not reliable. In 2017, the city had an average residue rate of 46% from the inbound stream.

### **QUESTION 12:**

On page 13 of the solicitation document on the performance bond requirement, the following is requested: "REQUIRED IN GROUP 1 OFFER SUBMITTAL GROUP 1 – EQUIPMENT PROCESSING SYSTEM a performance surety in the amount of Eighteen Million Dollars (\$18,000,000) shall be provided by the Contractor immediately after notice of award." Should we understand that the City will be requesting a 100% Performance Bond to the awardee?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The \$18,000,000 equipment bond covers the construction phase of the project and can be returned upon successful acceptance testing. This \$18 million is an approximation to hold an equivalent value based on the bid. If the awarded bid is less than \$18 million it will be a bond equivalent to the value of the equipment, or \$18 million, but not more than. – Please see revised language below.

### **QUESTION 13:**

On page 55 of the solicitation document in section VI – Submittals, some guidelines are provided to submit the hard copy of the proposal.

Since the Submittal forms are in pdf format except the Bid Price Schedule in excel format, would it be acceptable that we submit all the electronic documents in pdf format except the Bid Schedule?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Yes, pdf and excel format are acceptable for the electronic documents.



## SOLICITATION ADDENDUM

Solicitation Number: RFP 22-SW-014 (CR) Addendum #1 Page 4 of 10

Solicitation Due Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 1:00 p.m. Local Time

**CITY OF PHOENIX**  
Procurement Division  
200 W. Washington Street  
7th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85003  
Phone: (602) 495-3606

### **QUESTION 14:**

In the RFP, the City reserves the right to award only a Group 1 contract, or both a Group 1 and Group 2 contract, in any combination most advantageous to the City. Please confirm that this means that more than one operator may submit proposals to operate one Group 1 proposer's processing system, however individual operators may not submit proposals for more than one Group 1 proposer's processing system, as the Group 2 proposal must be customized for the operation of a specific Group 1 processing system.

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The City is only permitting one bid per company. An operator for Group 2 is not allowed to bid multiple times with different equipment vendors in Group 1. The primary purpose of this RFP and the main objective of this RFP is to select the most competitive bids in Group 1.

### **QUESTION 15:**

Does the City have any records of past composition audits that include glass and residue/contamination that can be shared? If not, can the City provide records of past residue/contamination rates from audits of the material before it was run through the system?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Data from the last two years of operations is not reliable. In 2017, the city had an average residue rate of 46% from the inbound stream.

### **QUESTION 16:**

Can the City provide any pay scale details by position for employees that were working at the 27th Ave. MRF before it's closure or at the North Gateway MRF that is also owned by the City?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The City does not have access to pay rates from current and former operators. However, the new state minimum wage is \$12.15 per hour. The city would suggest contacting local staffing agencies to get additional information.

### **QUESTION 17:**

RFP 22-SW-014, pg. 7, 6. Exceptions. This states Offeror must not take any exceptions to any terms, conditions, or material requirements of this solicitation. However, this is contradicted on pg. 1 of the Group 1 - Attachments A-M, 1.1 Minimum Proposal Requirements, A & C that require a list of any exceptions taken to any of the requirements of the RFP and List of Technical Exceptions. Can the city please clarify this position?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Yes, the RFP clearly states; "Offeror must not take any exceptions to any terms, conditions or material requirements of this solicitation" as found in RFP 22-SW-14-14, page 7, Section 6. Furthermore, this same sections states: "Offers with exceptions may be deemed non-responsive and disqualified from further consideration in the City's sole discretion". The City confirms this provision. Page 1 of the Group 1 Attachments A-M, 1.1 Minimum Proposal Requirements, A & C states that if an Offeror chooses to take an exception, the exception(s) must be stated. However (for emphasis) the City reminds the Offeror that exceptions taken may deem an Offer to be non-responsive and may be disqualified. The quantity and significance of exceptions taken will be considered during proposal review at the City's sole discretion.

### **QUESTION 18:**

RFP 22-SW-014, pg. 7, 6. Exceptions. The RFP suggests that changes to the contract language must be in writing to be effective. Does this mean that certain contract terms and conditions are subject to negotiation after an award? If so, should deviations be provided with our RFP response, consistent with Group 1 - Attachments A-M, 1.1 Minimum Proposal Requirements, A & C?



## SOLICITATION ADDENDUM

Solicitation Number: RFP 22-SW-014 (CR) Addendum #1 Page 5 of 10

Solicitation Due Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 1:00 p.m. Local Time

**CITY OF PHOENIX**  
Procurement Division  
200 W. Washington Street  
7th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85003  
Phone: (602) 495-3606

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

See response to Question 17. If any exception is contemplated by an Offeror, the Offeror is encouraged to send their inquiries to the procurement officer. If an Offeror fails to send specific questions and instead chooses to take exceptions, the City may view this approach by an Offeror unfavorably during the evaluation.

### **QUESTION 19:**

Section V-Scope of Work-Group 1, pg. 2, 3. Project Summary Requirements. Fire protection is called out as part of the responsibility of the MRF equipment supplier. Can there be any exceptions to this requirement?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The city will be managing the fire protection system in and around the facility building. The Equipment Contractor is responsible for providing accurate drawings of equipment design and flow. The city will be contracting with a Fire and Life Safety Systems vendor to design and install fire protection system above and below equipment.

### **QUESTION 20:**

Section V-Scope of Work-Group 1, pg. 7, 5.4 Reclaimed Equipment. During the pre-offer meeting on 8/26/21, City has mentioned that it will remove all the existing equipment and backfill, all the existing pits to make it a "greenfield-like" project before the new MRF equipment is installed. Please confirm.

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The city will be managing the deconstruction of the existing MRF and will be removing all existing equipment and will backfill all existing pits. Please see SECTION V – REVISED SCOPE OF WORK – EQUIPMENT PROCESSING SYSTEM GROUP 1 (MANDATORY) – External Link

### **QUESTION 21:**

Section V-Scope of Work 7.5. Civil Work. Civil work is called out as the responsibility of the MRF design/build contractor. Can the city clarify the expected scope of this work and can there be any exceptions to this requirement?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

See the response to Question 10. Pits are by the Equipment Contractor; designs are to be prepared by a licensed AZ Professional Engineer and submitted to the City for review and approval. Any required field verification work shall be included in the Offeror's price and shall be submitted with the design.

### **QUESTION 22:**

Section V-Scope of Work-Group 1, pg. 7, 5.5 Material Capture. Can the city provide an incoming waste composition?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Data from the last two years of operations is not reliable. In 2017, the city had an average residue rate of 46% from the inbound stream.

### **QUESTION 23:**

Section V-Scope of Work-Group 1, pg. 12, 7.3 Equipment Removal and Installation. Can the city clarify the expected scope of this work and can there be any exceptions to the requirement?



## SOLICITATION ADDENDUM

Solicitation Number: RFP 22-SW-014 (CR) Addendum #1 Page 6 of 10

Solicitation Due Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 1:00 p.m. Local Time

**CITY OF PHOENIX**  
Procurement Division  
200 W. Washington Street  
7th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85003  
Phone: (602) 495-3606

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

After evaluating the scheduled timeline, the city has determined it would be advantageous to remove this requirement from the Equipment Contractor. The city will be managing the deconstruction and equipment removal. Please see SECTION V – REVISED SCOPE OF WORK – EQUIPMENT PROCESSING SYSTEM GROUP 1 (MANDATORY) – External Link

### **QUESTION 24:**

RFP 22-SW-014 pg. 4, Section1-Instructions, 1.1, 2nd paragraph and Section V - Scope of Work-Group 1, pg. 12, 7.3 Equipment removal and Installation. We believe we heard in the pre-bid meeting that the city will be removing all equipment on the site before the new MRF equipment is installed. Please clarify.

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

That is correct. The city will be managing the deconstruction of the existing MRF and will be removing all existing equipment and will backfill all existing pits. This is no longer the responsibility of the Equipment Contractor. Please see SECTION V – REVISED SCOPE OF WORK – EQUIPMENT PROCESSING SYSTEM GROUP 1 (MANDATORY) – External Link

### **QUESTION 25:**

Can the City please provide drawings and information of the MRF building?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Please see 27th MRF Site Plans, Pg. 3-15 – External Link

### **QUESTION 26:**

RFP 22-SW-014, pg. 16, 30.2 Demonstrations. Offerors in the Competitive Range may be invited to construct a hands-on sample or presentation of their solution at the City of Phoenix. This requirement would be impractical. Can City please clarify its intent around demonstrations?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

An acceptable presentation would be viewing a 3D model to clearly explain the general design layout, flow of material, flexibility, redundancy, and access for staff, maintenance, and repairs.

### **QUESTION 27:**

RFP 22-SW-014, Standard Terms and Conditions, pg.34, 7.3 and 7.4 Default in One Installment to Constitute Breach and On Time Delivery. What is the consequence of not meeting the scheduled date when the final delivery date is met?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

If there is a delay in delivery, please refer to Section III – Special Terms and Conditions, Item 12. Performance Interference and work with Department Contact to discuss any changes to the performance schedule of this agreement. Continuous failure to meet delivery requirements of this agreement may result in liquidated damages per Section III – Special Terms and Conditions, Item 18.

### **QUESTION 28:**

RFP 22-SW-014, Special Terms and Condition, pg.38, 5 Method of Payment. When will the decision be made to pay on a milestone or percentage completion basis?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

A determination of the method of payment will be after award of contract.



## SOLICITATION ADDENDUM

Solicitation Number: RFP 22-SW-014 (CR) Addendum #1 Page 7 of 10

Solicitation Due Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 1:00 p.m. Local Time

**CITY OF PHOENIX**  
Procurement Division  
200 W. Washington Street  
7th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85003  
Phone: (602) 495-3606

### **QUESTION 29:**

RFP 22-SW-014, Special Terms and Condition, pg. 40, 15 Exclusive Possession. Clarify if the City's ownership would include previously developed software owned by the contractor but included in the system for the City to use.

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

RFP 22-SW-014, Special Terms and Condition, pg. 40, 15 Exclusive Possession pertains to items created under this contract: for example, layout drawings.

### **QUESTION 30:**

RFP 22-SW-014, Special Terms and Condition, pg. 40, Liquidated Damages. Please clarify whether the imposition of Liquidated Damages is subject to dispute resolution procedures.

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

This inquiry will be responded to in a future addendum

### **QUESTION 31:**

Section IV-Insurance and Indemnification-Group 1, pg. 46, 1. Does the City expect the contractor to reimburse it for its own Worker's Comp claims?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

No, the City will not reimburse contractors for their Workers Comp claims, as their policy must contain a waiver of subrogation against the City of Phoenix under 2.1.3.

### **QUESTION 32:**

Group 1 – Attachments A-M – Attachment G, 1.5.a and b. Construction Sequence. Does the City expect that all new processing equipment will be on site prior to installation?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

No, but the Equipment Contractor is expected to coordinate deliveries with the City for the available laydown areas, work by others and access. The Equipment Contractor is fully responsible to meet the installation schedule/deadline.

### **QUESTION 33:**

We would request an extension on the date for submitting additional questions until 9/15/21.

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The City will not be extending the date for submitting additional questions.

### **QUESTION 34:**

We would request an extension of three weeks on the date for submitting the final proposal.

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The City is agreeable to extending the submittals by one week. Offer Due Date will now be Wednesday, October 06, 2021 at 1:00 PM.



## SOLICITATION ADDENDUM

Solicitation Number: RFP 22-SW-014 (CR) Addendum #1 Page 8 of 10

Solicitation Due Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 1:00 p.m. Local Time

**CITY OF PHOENIX**  
Procurement Division  
200 W. Washington Street  
7th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85003  
Phone: (602) 495-3606

### **QUESTION 35:**

In Group 2 of the RFP, are potential operators limited to submitting one response with one respondent to Group 1 or can an operator submit multiple responses to Group 2 with more than one respondent to Group 1?  
Each system design submitted under Group 1 has a potential for a different labor need and operating cost. How will the City ensure the most competitive offer for Group 2, if only one proposal per system is submitted?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The City is only permitting one bid per company. An operator for Group 2 is not allowed to bid multiple times with different equipment vendors in Group 1. The primary purpose of this RFP and the main objective of this RFP is to select the most competitive bids in Group 1.

### **QUESTION 36:**

Can the City provide a description or operating plan for integration of the trommel into the MRF operation?

- o What is the layout of the system? Can you provide technical drawings?
- o What sizes will the trommel screen?
- o What is the flow of material based on the different size holes in the trommel?
- o What is the expected quality outcome of the material that goes from the trommel to the MRF for further processing? Percentage of residual in the recyclable material after trommel screening.
- o How does the City envision using the trommel to screen out undersized contaminants?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

No, but reference Section V – REVISED SCOPE OF WORK EQUIPMENT PROCESSING SYSTEM GROUP 1 (MANDATORY) 4 and SECTION V – SCOPE OF WORK MRF OPERATIONS & MATERIALS MARKETING GROUP 2 (OPTIONAL) 1.1 (E)

### **QUESTION 37:**

Can the City provide the maintenance schedule and operating assumptions from the manufacturer for the trommel?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

No. The trommel has not been installed as of this time.

### **QUESTION 38:**

What is the expected inbound material residual percentage to the MRF?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Data from the last two years of operations is not reliable. In 2017, the city had an average residue rate of 46% from the inbound stream.

### **QUESTION 39:**

The RFP specifies UL834 and Canadian Electrical Code; Will UL508a be acceptable?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

If an Offeror proposed a Motor Control Center, it must comply with UL 845. If an Offeror proposed a Control Panel, it must comply with UL 508A. Canadian Electrical Code equivalents will be considered subject to the appropriate labeling.

### **QUESTION 40:**

Could a one-week extension be granted for submittals?





## SOLICITATION ADDENDUM

Solicitation Number: RFP 22-SW-014 (CR) Addendum #1 Page 9 of 10

Solicitation Due Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 1:00 p.m. Local Time

**CITY OF PHOENIX**  
Procurement Division  
200 W. Washington Street  
7th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85003  
Phone: (602) 495-3606

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The City is agreeable to extending the submittals by one week. Offer Due Date will now be Wednesday, October 06, 2021 at 1:00 PM.

### **QUESTION 41:**

Clarity requested on General Installation Guidelines and Project Summary Requirements; Will the Equipment Contractor be responsible for providing and/or installing a fire suppression system? If so, what is the type of system that is required?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

The city will be managing the fire protection system in and around the facility building. Equipment Contractor is responsible for providing accurate drawings of equipment design and flow. The city will be contracting with a Fire and Life Safety Systems vendor to design and install fire protection system above and below equipment.

### **QUESTION 42:**

Clarity requested on General Design and Construction Requirements Section 1.2 G.; Are the emergency lighting systems and exit signs to be installed by the Equipment Contractor on the building or just the MRF equipment?

### **CITY RESPONSE:**

Equipment Contractor will be responsible for installing emergency lighting and exit signs for the MRF equipment only. Emergency lighting systems and exit signs for the building will be managed by the city.

### **CHANGE**

Change all references to **SUBMITTAL DEADLINE** and **SOLICITATION DEADLINE** of WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 to **WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2021, 1:00 P.M. LOCAL ARIZONA TIME.**

### **REVISE**

Section I – Instructions Item 24. BOND is revised to read below:

#### **24. PERFORMANCE BOND:**

##### **REQUIRED IN GROUP 1 OFFER SUBMITTAL**

GROUP 1 – EQUIPMENT PROCESSING SYSTEM a performance surety in the amount of the **equivalent to the value of the equipment up to** Eighteen Million Dollars (\$18,000,000) shall be provided by the Contractor immediately after notice of award. The City of Phoenix will not issue a written purchase order or give notice to proceed in any form until the surety is received by the Procurement Officer. The performance surety must be in the form of a bond, letter of credit, Certificate of Deposit, cashier's check, certified check, or money order. Personal or company checks are not acceptable unless certified. If surety is in the form of a bond, the company issuing the surety must be authorized by the Insurance Department of Arizona to transact business in the State of Arizona or be named on the approved listing of non-admitted companies. If a Letter of Credit, the City only accepts from banks rated "A" or better by Moody's or Standard & Poor. Banks customarily maintain a standard format for Letters of Credit; the bank and format will need to be submitted to the City. Approvals from several departments may be required before final acceptance of the letter of credit or bond; this process may take up to 15 days. A Certificate of Deposit (CD) issued by a local Phoenix bank may also be used as a form of surety, provided that the CD is issued jointly in the name of the City of Phoenix and the Contractor, and that the Contractor endorses the CD over to the City at the beginning of the contract period. Interest earnings from the CD can be retained by the Contractor.



**SOLICITATION ADDENDUM**

Solicitation Number: RFP 22-SW-014 (CR) Addendum #1 Page 10 of 10

Solicitation Due Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 1:00 p.m. Local Time

**CITY OF PHOENIX**  
**Procurement Division**  
**200 W. Washington Street**  
**7th Floor**  
**Phoenix, AZ 85003**  
**Phone: (602) 495-3606**

**\*REQUIRED THIRTY (30) DAYS PRIOR TO ENDING OF THE EQUIPMENT TERM.**  
GROUP 2 – MRF OPERATIONS & MATERIALS MARKETING a performance surety in the amount of Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) shall be provided by the Contractor immediately after notice of award. The City of Phoenix will not issue a written purchase order or give notice to proceed in any form until the surety is received by the Procurement Officer. The performance surety must be in the form of a bond, letter of credit, Certificate of Deposit, cashier's check, certified check, or money order. Personal or company checks are not acceptable unless certified. If surety is in the form of a bond, the company issuing the surety must be authorized by the Insurance Department of Arizona to transact business in the State of Arizona or be named on the approved listing of non-admitted companies. If a Letter of Credit, the City only accepts from banks rated "A" or better by Moody's or Standard & Poor. Banks customarily maintain a standard format for Letters of Credit; the bank and format will need to be submitted to the City. Approvals from several departments may be required before final acceptance of the letter of credit or bond; this process may take up to 15 days. A Certificate of Deposit (CD) issued by a local Phoenix bank may also be used as a form of surety, provided that the CD is issued jointly in the name of the City of Phoenix and the Contractor, and that the Contractor endorses the CD over to the City at the beginning of the contract period. Interest earnings from the CD can be retained by the Contractor.

**REPLACE**

Section V – Scope of Work – Group 1 Equipment Processing (Mandatory) is to be replaced with Section V – Revised Scope of Work – Group 1 Equipment Processing (Mandatory) – Please see external line.

Removed: Section V – Item 5.4 RECLAIMED EQUIPMENT

Removed: Section V – Items 7.3 EQUIPMENT REMOVAL AND INSTALLATION

The balance of the specifications and instructions remain the same. Interested parties must acknowledge receipt and acceptance of this addendum by returning the entire addendum with the inquiry.

Name of Company: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Authorized Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Print Name and Title: \_\_\_\_\_